

January 13, 2026

Georganne Ridgill
49 Duckpond Place
Georgetown, SC 29440

Re: Petition to recall Bryan Lawrimore

Dear Ms. Ridgill:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that:

1. The Board of Directors ("BOD") of the Wedgefield Plantation Association ("WPA") acknowledges that you or one of your associates delivered on or about November 21, 2025, to the Secretary of WPA the petitions to remove Bryan Lawrimore as a Director;
2. On January 5, 2026, you sent an electronic message to the BOD "serv[ing] as notice that the HOA Board has exceeded the 30-day limit to enact a recall meeting once requested by 1/3 residents in good standing" and "requesting the special meeting for the recall of . . . Bryan Lawrimore, to be held on February 14, 2026";
3. The Special Recall Committee established by the BOD on November 24, 2025, met on January 9, 2026, examined the submitted petitions, counted the petitions signed by eligible members, and determined that one-third of the eligible voters in good standing did not sign a submitted petition; and
4. Under WPA's By-Laws or S.C. Code Ann. § 33-31-702 (if applicable), neither the BOD nor the petitioners have the authority to call a special meeting to remove Mr. Lawrimore, because one-third of the eligible voters in good standing failed to sign a submitted petition.

To emphasize that no one has the authority to call for a special meeting to remove Mr. Lawrimore based on the petitions submitted, we can look at WPA's By-Laws and S.C. Code Ann. § 33-31-702(a)(2), (c).

First, Article VII, Section 3(c) of WPA's By-Laws states:

A special meeting of the members of [WPA] to remove a member or members of the [BOD] may be called by written request from one-third (1/3) of the eligible voters in good standing."

The petitions clearly stated that the sole purpose of these petitions was “to recall these board members.” Because you did not submit signed petitions from one-third of the eligible voters in good standing, the above subsection of WPA’s By-Laws does not give the BOD or anyone else the authority to call a special meeting to remove a Director.

Second, assuming *arguendo* that Section 33-31-702 applies in this matter, you stated in your January 5, 2026, email that “SC Code 33-31-702 allows the person signing the meeting request to set a time and place for the especial meeting should the Board fail to do so within the 30-day time limit.” This quote is accurate on its face; however, it is not applicable to this matter. A prerequisite for you to set the place and time for a special meeting to remove a Director is governed by the By-Laws, which requires the petitions to meet the one-third of eligible voters in good standing threshold. Further, your authority to call a special meeting to remove a Director pursuant to Section 33-31-702(c) is contingent on submitting signed petitions from one-third of eligible voters in good standing. As already stated, the Special Recall Committee counted the eligible voters in good standing from the submitted petitions and found that you were three votes shy of the threshold to be able to call for a special meeting to remove Mr. Lawrimore.

The written report of the Special Recall Committee is attached for your review.

The Special Review Committee’s findings will be presented to the BOD at its January 20, 2026, meeting at which time the BOD and the membership will be informed that the submitted petitions were insufficient for a special meeting to remove a Director to be called.

You may contact me at 803-201-8289.

Sincerely yours,

William J. Condon, Jr.
Chair
Legal Affairs Committee

cc: Findings of the Special Recall Committee